	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/917,897	OGAWA ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Marjorie A. Moran.	(3)	
(2) <u>Drew Hissong</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11/03/03	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102/103		
Claims discussed: 3, 5		
Prior art documents discussed: GALIS, TERASHIMA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
Mayory G. Spron		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – it appropriate)

Application No. 09/917,897

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed that the after-final amendment and arguments had been fully considered. However, the amendment would not be entered as the limitation that a thin membrane be dried is a new issue requiring further search and consideration. The examiner stated that the amendment would overcome the anticipation rejection under 35 USC 102, but that it may not overcome the rejection under 35 USC 103. Further, even if the amendment rendered the claims free of the prior art of record, the examiner could not state whether a method of use of the claimed dried thin membrane non-obvious over ANY prior art without further search and consideration.